1 **REVIEW ARTICLE**



- The minimum aptamer publication standards (MAPS guidelines) for de
 novo aptamer selection
- 5

2

Maureen McKeague^{1, 2}, Victoria Calzada³, Laura Cerchia⁴, Maria DeRosa⁵, Jennifer M Heemstra⁶, Nebojsa Janjic⁷, Philip
 E Johnson⁸, Leon Kraus⁹, Janice Limson¹⁰, Günter Mayer^{11, 12}, Marit Nilsen-Hamilton^{13, 14, 15}, David Porciani^{16, 17}, Tarun
 Kumar Sharma^{18, 19,20}, Beatrix Suess⁹, Julian A Tanner^{21, 22}, Sarah Shigdar^{23, 24*}

9

¹Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3G 1Y6, Canada; ²Department 10 of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 0B8, Canada; ³Área de Radiofarmacia, Centro de 11 Investigaciones Nucleares, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República, Montevideo 11400, Uruguay; ⁴Institute 12 13 of Experimental Endocrinology and Oncology "Gaetano Salvatore", CNR, Via S. Pansini 5, 80131, Naples, Italy; ⁵Department of Chemistry, 203 Steacie Building, Carleton University, 1125, Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, 14 Canada; ⁶Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, United States; ⁷SomaLogic, Inc., 2945 15 Wilderness Place, Boulder, CO 80301, USA; ⁸Department of Chemistry & Centre for Research on Biomolecular 16 Interactions, York University, 4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M3J 1P3; ⁹Department of Biology, TU 17 Darmstadt, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany; ¹⁰Biotechnology Innovation Centre, Rhodes University, Makhanda, 6140, 18 South Africa; ¹¹Chemical Biology & Chemical Genetics, Life and Medical Sciences (LIMES) Institute, University of Bonn, 19 20 53121 Bonn, Germany; ¹²Center of Aptamer Research & Development (CARD), University of Bonn, 53121 Bonn, 21 Germany; ¹³Roy J Carver Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA; ¹⁴Ames Laboratory, US DOE (United States Department of Energy), Ames, IA 50011, USA; ¹⁵Aptalogic 22 Inc., Ames, IA 50014, USA; ¹⁶Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, University of Missouri School of 23 Medicine, Columbia, MO 65212, USA;¹⁷Bond Life Sciences Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65201, USA; 24 25 ¹⁸Department of Medical Biotechnology, Gujarat Biotechnology University, Gujarat International Finance Tec-City, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, India; ¹⁹AptaBharat Innovation Pvt Ltd, BBB Bionest Bioincubator, NCR Biotech Science 26 Cluster, 3rd Milestone, Faridabad – Gurgaon Expressway, PO box #04, Faridabad 121001, India; ²⁰Translational 27 28 Research Group, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, NCR Biotech Science Cluster, 3rd Milestone, Faridabad- Gurgaon Expressway, PO box #04, Faridabad- 121001, India;²¹School of Biomedical Sciences, Li Ka Shing 29 Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China;²²Advanced Biomedical 30 Instrumentation Centre, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China; ²³School of 31 32 Medicine, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia;²⁴Institute for Mental and Physical Health and Clinical 33 Translation, School of Medicine, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC 3220, Australia 34

- 35 ***Correspondence to**: Sarah Shigdar, Email: sarah.shigdar@deakin.edu.au (on behalf of the International Society on
- 36 Aptamers and the Aptamer Consortium)

1 2	Received: 16 March 2022 Revised: 06 May 2022 Accepted: 18 May 2022 Published: 24 May 2022
2	Aptamers (2022), Vol 6, 00-00
4	Aptainers (2022), Vol.0, 00 00
5	© Copyright The Author(s). This is an open access article, published under the terms of the Creative Commons
6	Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0). This license permits non-
7	commercial use, distribution and reproduction of this article, provided the original work is appropriately
8	acknowledged, with correct citation details.
9	
10 11	
11	
13	
14	xC.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	.00
21	
22	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

1 ABSTRACT

2

3 Aptamers were first described in 1990 and since then many aptamers have been reported in the literature 4 for numerous applications in both diagnostics and therapeutics. However, as with most fields, missing or 5 unclear information presented in the publication makes it difficult to replicate some of the work described 6 in the literature. To increase the reproducibility of the data and facilitate academic laboratories and 7 industrial companies to develop reliable aptamer work, essential guidelines should be proposed and 8 followed in any aptamer publication, especially in those that highlight *de novo* aptamer sequences. Here, 9 we provide suggestions for authors, reviewers, and editors to follow when performing and reporting their 10 aptamer work to ensure that we meet the minimum standards for publication of future aptamer 11 sequences.

12

13 KEYWORDS: aptamers, guidelines, in vitro selection, minimum standards, reproducibility, SELEX

14

15 INTRODUCTION

16

17 It is now 30 years since the first papers describing aptamers were published (Ellington and Szostak 1990, Tuerk and Gold, 1990). In these past three decades, there have been several thousand aptamers generated 18 and described in the literature. Targets range from metal ions (Hg^{2+,} As³⁺ and Cd²⁺, Cu²⁺, etc.) (Guo et al, 19 20 2021), very small molecules, such as glucose (Yang et al, 2014) and cocaine (Stojanovic et al, 2000), proteins 21 and peptides (Shigdar et al, 2011), to whole organisms such as the parasite Trypanosoma brucei (Homann 22 and Göringer, 1999). As well, there have been many adaptations and modifications to the traditional selection process, the Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment (SELEX) (Zhang et al, 23 24 2019). Finally, there have been advancements in technology to ensure that the measurement of specificity 25 and affinity of potential aptamer sequences can be determined very precisely (McKeague et al, 2015). 26 Despite these changes, the basic premise of aptamer development and applications remains the same (Freedman and Inglese, 2014). 27

28

As in the case of antibody or RNAi technologies, aptamers underwent an initial moment of euphoria and success that included a clinically-approved aptamer formulation (Pegaptanib/Macugen) (Ruckman et al, 1998; Ng et al, 2006). However, the acceptance of aptamers as affinity reagents that have their own unique set of advantages has been emerging in a steady albeit incremental manner over the last three decades. One of the limitations in these authors' experience is the lack of reproducibility of published data, in part due to the absence of standardised protocols that can critically determine specificity and affinity of aptamer binding. Given that the most pervasive reason for a general lack of reproducibility in scientific

1 research is an incomplete protocol (Freedman and Inglese, 2014), the Aptamer Consortium, which is part of 2 the International Society on Aptamers (INSOAP), felt it was timely to suggest best practice standards to 3 meet when characterising and publishing new aptamer sequences. This paper will highlight pertinent 4 information that should be reported regarding aptamer selection, characterisation, and application. First, 5 similar to the Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments (MIQE) 6 presented in 2009 (Bustin et al, 2009) and now are well accepted and required by journals for publication, 7 we present the MAPS guidelines: the minimum aptamer publication standards for *de novo* aptamer 8 selection (Table 3). We also provide examples of ideal information and useful assays that will drastically 9 help push aptamer applications forward, but that may not be required for a first selection publication. 10 Together, we hope to prevent repeatability issues faced to date by aptamers (Bottari et al, 2020; Tao et al, 11 2020) and help ensure that aptamers do not meet the same 'irreproducibility' fate (Zong and Liu, 2019) 12 suffered by antibodies (Baker, 2016).

13

14 APTAMER SELECTION

15

16 Besides naturally occurring aptamers like riboswitches, nearly all newly reported aptamer sequences are 17 discovered using the traditional SELEX process or its variants (Sharma et al, 2017). Within this process, there are multiple parameters that may impact the discovery of high affinity aptamers as well as their final 18 19 function. The critical parameters include choice of nucleic acid as the initial library, the length of the 20 random region, the inclusion of primer binding sites, their specific sequences and complements to these 21 sites, folding conditions for the selection library, metal cation concentration, buffer and pH, target 22 immobilisation strategy and concentration, temperature, use of competitors/blocking agents, number of 23 PCR cycles in each selection cycle, polymerase used for amplification, separation of double-stranded 24 products (for DNA libraries), conditions for the *in vitro* transcription/purification (for RNA libraries) 25 incubation time and temperature with target, molar ratio of nucleic acid to target in each cycle where 26 possible, and partitioning conditions. In the case of cell-SELEX, the cell condition in culture is critical for 27 successful aptamer selection. Stable performance of living cells, in terms of proliferative and morphological 28 features, should be constantly verified over the entire selection process. In addition, cell cultures should be 29 tested for contamination by mycoplasmas.

30

These conditions are generally optimised in each individual laboratory over time and then become the standard method. As such, some published papers will usually refer to previous papers for the selection criteria chosen. However, this information may be incomplete, or there may have been additional changes to the protocol over time that have not been published. We would therefore recommend that selection 1 conditions are included in a table in the methods section or in supplementary information. Below, we

2 provide a suggested format for reporting all relevant SELEX information in Table 1 and Table 2.

3

4 SEQUENCE INFORMATION

5

6 Following selection, candidate aptamer sequences are identified through sequencing. Some laboratory 7 groups continue to use traditional cloning and Sanger sequencing to identify sequences selected against 8 the target. In this case, as many sequences as possible should be obtained to attempt to find "enriched" 9 motifs. The choice of the final selection round(s) used for cloning and sequencing, and the decision to stop 10 doing additional affinity selections, should also be briefly justified. For example, the final round of selection 11 might not show the best binding to target (Schütze et al, 2011). When appropriate, binding assay results for 12 selected rounds could be presented to indicate which selection round was chosen and this data should be 13 presented as part of the results. It would be useful to acknowledge that the decision to stop doing 14 additional rounds of selection often involves some degree of judgment that sufficient enrichment for 15 desired functional properties has been achieved. In this context, it is useful to simply state the reason for 16 the decision.

17

In the past ten years, there has been a general trend towards Next Generation Sequencing (NGS). NGS is a 18 19 powerful method that provides millions of sequences from selection rounds. While protocols have 20 developed particularly well over the years to make sense of this information, it is imperative that the 21 method for choosing sequences for further interrogation is detailed (Komarova et al, 2020). For example, 22 which NGS platform was used, which rounds were sequenced, what process and software(s) was used to 23 analyse the raw data, how were the sequences clustered, what software was used for secondary structure 24 prediction. Ideally, when possible, a representative list of sequences from the final affinity-enriched pools 25 should be presented in the supplementary files and carefully checked for accuracy (Miller et al, 2021). 26 Finally, when and where feasible, all raw sequencing underlying data should be deposited to an appropriate public repository for public release or provided as supplementary information upon publication. A list of 27 28 possible software for analysing NGS data has been provided by Yu and colleagues (Yu et al, 2021) and can 29 be expanded with the addition of RaptRanker (Ishida et al, 2020).

30

Given the number of sequences that will be generated at the end of aptamer selection, it is also important to note how sequences for further validation were chosen. Were the top ten sequences chosen on percentage reads within all the sequences or based on enrichment across rounds? Were they based off different predicted secondary structure motifs or 3D structure prediction? Were sequences discounted due to similarity to sequences from previous enrichment cycles? Any predicted structures for selected aptamers

1 should be presented in the results or supplementary data and the choice of software and virtual folding

- 2 conditions listed in the methods section.
- 3

For sequences that are selected for detailed studies, it is very useful to present related sequences from the same affinity-enriched pool (that is, sequences within a sequence family). Alignment of such sequences, including with appropriate gaps, often leads to insights about conserved as well as variable positions within an aligned set, which can be used for covariation analyses (to look for recurring base pairing to support secondary structure predictions, for example), truncation experiments, and identification of positions likely to be critical for target binding. Analyses of such sequence families are now considerably enhanced with the advent of NGS.

11

12 VALIDATION OF APTAMER SEQUENCES

13

Following identification of putative aptamer sequences, a number of potential candidates are then chosen 14 15 for validation of binding, both for specificity and affinity. First, oligonucleotides synthesised with these 16 sequences can be verified using mass spectrometry for completeness. This service is usually available from 17 the oligonucleotide synthesis provider. Authors should also detail whether the aptamers were purified prior to characterisation and by what method, desalted, High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), 18 19 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), etc. This verification is particularly crucial in the case of 20 chemically-modified aptamers. Next, assays and buffer conditions should be listed if they are different to 21 the selection conditions. Examples of considerations for select types of targets are listed below. Regardless, 22 both quantitative assays, and sometimes also qualitative assays should be included. Different 23 characterisation methods can give different Kd values (or show binding vs no binding) for a given sequence, 24 underscoring the importance of assay method. Importantly, scrambled and/or point mutation controls 25 should be used in all assays to ensure binding is caused by the specific interaction of an aptamer. 26 Scrambled controls should also be included if/when the aptamer sequence is truncated. Ideal control 27 sequences must be of the same chemical composition and the same length to the sequences being tested. 28 If modified bases have been introduced into the sequence, these should also be incorporated into the 29 control sequences. 30

Regardless of the assay, all conditions must be included, such as binding buffer constituents, conditions for heating/cooling step for the proper folding of aptamer structure in buffer, concentration of aptamer, time of incubation, temperature, and washing steps. Both qualitative and quantitative experiments should be repeated multiple times to ensure reproducibility and the number of technical and biological replicates should be reported. Experiments should at the minimum be reproducible within the laboratory that reports

1 the initial results, and if possible, repeated by a separate experimenter to confirm inter-operator 2 reproducibility. Anecdotally, an experiment occasionally works when performed by one researcher, but fails 3 in the hands of another member of the laboratory. Ideally, these experiments should be blinded prior to 4 and during analysis to prevent results fitting preconceived expectations. This is especially important when 5 images, such as those in histochemical applications, are presented that may not be representative of the 6 entire population. For example, when taking images of cells, consecutive fields should be studied and 7 images should be taken of each field to ensure a lack of bias. Finally, experimental protocols should detail 8 the data analysis steps utilised to calculate binding affinities and selectivities (Table 3).

9

10 Aptamers that bind to small molecules

11 Small molecules often require different selection conditions compared to those typically used with protein 12 targets. Based on structural analyses, aptamers generally encage small molecules through binding sites that 13 contain contacts with multiple functional groups (Hermann and Patel 2000). With proteins, in contrast, high affinity binding and specificity is achieved through exquisite shape complementarity between aptamer and 14 15 protein surfaces (Gelinas et al, 2016). The use of different immobilisation strategies may limit the aptamer 16 binding to the free target or generate aptamers that only bind the small molecule attached to the matrix. 17 Therefore, it is imperative that characterisation includes assays that replicate the selection process as well 18 as those that replicate the future applications of the aptamers (Yu et al, 2021). Specifically, aptamers that 19 were selected to immobilised small molecules should also be tested in solution using assays that do not 20 require immobilisation such as isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) (Chatterjee et al, 2020), microscale 21 thermophoresis (MST), fluorescence anisotropy or by a molecular beacon fluorescence resonance energy 22 transfer (FRET) assay (Endoh et al, 2009, Entzian and Schubert, 2016, Li and Zhao, 2019). 23

Given the challenges of immobilised small molecules, methods that do not require chemical changes in the small molecule targets are now strongly preferred, particularly since some small molecules have limited functional groups for immobilisation or immobilisation is difficult (Tian et al, 2019; Chatterjee et al, 2020; Lyu et al, 2021). If small molecules are immobilised by a chemical reaction, conditions must be reported in detail. This is to ensure that appropriate functional groups have been used for immobilisation.

29

Noteworthy examples for immobilisation free SELEX include Graphene Oxide (GO-) SELEX and Capture SELEX (Park et al, 2012; Stoltenburg et al, 2012; Boussebayle et al, 2019). Both are based on structural
 change upon ligand binding with subsequent elution of binding sequences. GO-SELEX utilises the unspecific
 binding of DNA/RNA molecules, while Capture-SELEX uses a capture-oligonucleotide with a matching
 docking-sequence within the randomised pool sequence. Consequently, Capture-SELEX requires a special
 pool design which should be reported in-depth. In both cases, relevant specifications include the method of

pool binding to the substrate/oligonucleotide with time, temperature and used buffers. Binding to matrix
or used beads must be excluded with appropriate experiments. Since the presence of matrix or capture
oligonucleotides may influence binding characteristics, binding to the ligand should be demonstrated with
and without the respective matrices, beads or oligonucleotides.

5

6 Aptamers that bind to proteins

7 Although there have been many adaptations to the SELEX process and targets are becoming more complex, 8 the majority of aptamer selections are still directed against proteins, whether in their native conformations 9 (e.g., when expressed on the cell surface, for protein receptor targets, see also next paragraph below) or 10 expressed as recombinant protein. A key consideration then when using recombinant proteins as the target 11 is to ensure that the sourced proteins closely resemble the physiological state. Due consideration should be 12 given to the folded conformation of the proteins and to any post-translational modifications (Díaz-13 Fernández et al, 2018). This is especially important since aptamers are now recognised as affinity reagents 14 that have intrinsic ability to recognise even subtle differences in conformational states of proteins, with 15 much higher sensitivity that what is achievable with antibodies (Zichel et al, 2012; Jankowski et al, 2020). 16

17 It is important, as with small molecule aptamers, to characterise aptamers under conditions of pH, 18 temperature, and ion composition, that are similar to the ones used during their selection and that 19 resemble the physiological milieu that will be found in future biomedical applications, such as blood, 20 serum, urine, saliva, etc. For unmodified proteins, aptamers may bind to both recombinant proteins as well as to protein isolated from biological samples. However, for those proteins that undergo post-translational 21 22 modifications, it is necessary to confirm the aptamer binds to the desired proteoform in proposed 23 applications. This may involve the transfection of null cells with a tagged cDNA to allow for pull down of the 24 protein following lysis. The protein should be confirmed via additional analysis, such as western blot, prior 25 to assays. While some of the assays proposed for small molecule characterisation can also be applied to 26 proteins, notably Surface Plasmon Resonance and MST, there are other assays that only require the use of 27 a plate reader, either standard or fluorescent, for an enzyme-linked apta-sorbent assay (ELASA, also known 28 as ELONA and ELAA (Drolet et al, 1996; Stoltenburg et al, 2016; Moore et al, 2017; Vargas-Montes et al, 29 2019) or fluorescence readout. The latter can be accomplished purely using fluorescently labelled primers, 30 quantification, and denaturing and folding of the PCR product and subsequent incubation with the 31 immobilised protein. Specificity of aptamer-protein recognition is extremely important and should be validated against constituents of the biological matrix in which the aptamer is intended to be applied. For 32 33 example, many aptamers will be used to identify proteins in serum, plasma or blood samples or they will be 34 developed for therapeutic purposes. The ability of the aptamer to bind the major protein constituents of 35 the appropriate matrix should be tested and specified. Also, to the extent that the assay protocol allows,

1 the aptamers should be tested for affinity to the target protein in the presence of the appropriate biological

- 2 matrix, and with due consideration given to a series of positive and negative protein controls.
- 3

4 Aptamers that bind to cells

5 If developing aptamers for future cell-based assays, the aptamer should be tested against several cell lines 6 that are positive for the target to determine the binding affinity. The aptamers should also be tested 7 against cell lines that are negative for the target to confirm specificity. Given the complexity of the target, it 8 is desirable to harness different types of assays in order to assess the targeting efficiency of the aptamers 9 both in terms of affinity and specificity (*i.e.*, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), flow cytometry, 10 streptavidin-biotin-based assays). Flow cytometry represents a powerful analytical technique to determine 11 aptamer cell binding and validate their target specificity. Light microscopy can be used as a complementary 12 tool to define intracellular fate and localisation of aptamers upon receptor-mediated endocytosis or in 13 some cases macropinocytosis with image collection and analysis by individuals blinded to the identify of 14 each sample (Shigdar et al, 2011). These results should also be confirmed using both cell lines that express 15 the target of interest (positive controls) and cell lines that do not (negative controls), ideally through the 16 use of artificial expression and/or knockdown of the protein. If this is not possible, cell lines with a range of 17 expression, from high to low expression should be used. The combined use of flow cytometry and light microscopy can demonstrate specific aptamer binding to the cell surface and/or its internalisation. If 18 19 receptor-mediated endocytosis is proposed as the route of entry into the cell, several assays should be 20 performed that (i) demonstrate colocalisation (aptamer co-incubation with endocytic markers, such as Rab-21 4, -5, -7 or transferrin), (ii) prevent endocytosis (sodium azide or potassium depletion, or use of inhibitors of 22 clathrin-mediated endocytosis, such as Pitstop2 or Dynasore), or (iii) remove cell surface proteins (upon 23 enzymatic digestion with trypsin or proteinase k) or digestion of surface bound aptamers using a cocktail of 24 RNases or DNases. Blinded experiments as mentioned above are especially important when images are 25 presented that may not be representative of the entire population. For example, if taking images of cells, 26 consecutive or randomly chosen fields should be studied and images should be taken of each field to 27 ensure a lack of bias.

28

Another consideration is the potential for aptamers to be taken up by dead cells non-specifically. This
phenomenon has been reported in the literature, with methods proposed for the removal of dead cells
(Mayer et al, 2010) to more accurately reflect the affinity of aptamers for cell expressed targets. Failure to
account for non-specific uptake of aptamers by dead cells during aptamer affinity studies can result in
misleading research findings (Flanagan et al, 2021).

34

35 Aptamers proposed for *in vitro* diagnostic applications

1 If an aptamer is developed for a disease specific and clinically relevant biomarker then, to demonstrate the 2 real clinical utility of an aptamer candidate, it should be first evaluated in simulated samples (a pool of 3 relevant biological fluid from healthy volunteers spiked with known concentration of biomarker). Following 4 the initial "SELEX" publication, several other assays must be performed to bring this aptamer into the clinic. 5 However, the authors note that these experiments would typically be in follow-up reports. First, the 6 performance of the aptamer should be assessed in real clinical samples with a sufficient number of cases 7 and controls to support statistical significance (Dhiman et al, 2018; Lavania et al, 2018; Kumari et al, 2019). 8 The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the aptamer-based assay should be determined using a Receiver 9 Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve to benchmark the performance of the aptamer with the existing gold 10 standard test (Lavania et al, 2018; Taneja et al, 2020). Furthermore, a direct comparison of the 11 performance of the aptamer with that of available poly/monoclonal (preferably monoclonal) antibodies in 12 same set of clinical specimens is desirable for assessment of utility and to potentially highlight the 13 superiority of aptamers over antibodies in the identified diagnostic assay.

14

15 Aptamers for *in vivo* applications

16 This field includes a wide range of applications including in vivo imaging and therapeutics. An aptamer used 17 for an in vivo application needs to be carefully designed and for this purpose SELEX methodology as well as 18 the post-SELEX modifications are critically important. For all in vivo applications, starting libraries that have 19 some degree of intrinsic nuclease resistance have obvious advantages since they minimise the amount of 20 post-SELEX optimisation to achieve desired metabolic stability. Selections done at physiological 21 temperature, ionic strength and in buffers that contain divalent metal ions (calcium and magnesium) are 22 strongly preferred for in vivo applications. Prior to initiation of in vivo studies, the in vitro affinity and 23 specificity should be established by at least two different methodologies to ensure responsible use of 24 animals. In vivo evaluation should also include: (i) the animal number in terms of 3 R's (Replacement, 25 Reduction, Refinement) which restrict the procedures and cost (MacArthur, 2018); (ii) characterisation of 26 stability in a biological fluid like serum; and (iii) dosing justification in the context of anticipated in vivo 27 activity.

28

All points discussed here require optimised experimental design. Although there are additional biological barriers in an *in vivo* experiment, we expect the affinity and specificity of the aptamer to remain unchanged in the biological environment, especially if *in vitro* evaluation was performed with consideration of the physiological parameters. Serum stability assayed by size exclusion-high-performance liquid chromatography (SE-HPLC), gel electrophoresis or another suitable method is highly desirable. However, there are several parameters that define *in vivo* binding of the aptamers. If chemical modifications like dyes are included in the construct of the aptamer for *in vivo* applications, changes in overall lipophilicity should

© The Authors | Aptamers | 2022 | Volume 5 | 00-00 | OPEN ACCESS | ISSN 2514-3247

10

1 be considered because of the possibility of unspecific uptake in tissues. Also, usually aptamer size and 2 composition may allow tissue penetration in hours. Thus, depending on the study, the optimal time for 3 assessment of binding to the target in vivo may be after sufficient time is allowed for tissue penetration, 4 which could be after around two or more hours (Bouvier-Müller and Ducongé, 2018). Various constructs 5 have been used over the years to modify the residence time of aptamers in vivo such as polyethylene 6 glycol, lipids such as cholesterol and nanoparticles. Most of these conjugates increase the effective size of 7 the aptamers, which are much smaller than antibodies, by minimising their kidney-mediated clearance. 8 Such constructs are very useful for a wide range of *in vivo* applications, however, it is essential to establish 9 that such modification of the aptamer do not affect the binding affinity of the aptamer (Hilderbrand and 10 Weissleder, 2010). Between 3 and 5 animals are typically recommended for each condition tested. Careful 11 consideration should be given to the timing of the assessment of the effect of the aptamer in the context of 12 the dosing schedule and the expected (or established) pharmacokinetic properties of the aptamer 13 construct used for *in vivo* experiments. The choices for experimental design depend highly on the 14 evaluation methodology. We recommend starting with few variables to evaluate the in vivo binding and 15 target attainment. Sensitivity of the binding detection methodology must be considered (Sicco et al, 2020). 16 Finally, a sequence-scrambled control reagents that have identical composition to active aptamer test 17 agents should be included with all animal experiments (Haubner and Decristoforo, 2011). If the experiment includes aptamer modifications, the scrambled sequence needs to include the same modification. When 18 19 feasible, a group of control of animals lacking the expression of the target should also be tested. 182

20

21 **CONCLUSIONS**

22

These guidelines are not exhaustive and cannot anticipate every situation experienced by authors, 23 24 reviewers, and editors. However, it is our hope that this article will start a conversation about the minimum 25 reporting guidelines required for publishing de novo aptamers to ensure that we stay ahead of the 26 reproducibility crisis that has been faced by several fields. Although this is not a suggestion to reviewers to 27 request additional experiments, the minimum requirements should be adhered to while balancing available 28 resources, and in some cases, intellectual property policies of academic institutions and companies. We 29 hope that both reviewers and authors will use the checklist in Table 3 and Box 1, as well as the suggested 30 tables, when preparing and reviewing articles in the future. While those of us who work specifically with 31 aptamers understand the quirks that can affect experimental results, it is essential that we move forward 32 with consistency to ensure that the wider community is able to follow our protocols and successfully use 33 aptamers in their research and development projects.

34

35 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 1
- 2 The authors would like to acknowledge the aptamer community for their suggestions and valuable
- 3 conversations regarding these standards that led to this publication.
- 4

5 **REFERENCES**

- 6
- 7 Baker M. 2016. 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. Nature, 533, 452-454.
- 8 Bottari F, Daems E, de Vries A-M, et al. 2020. Do Aptamers Always Bind? The Need for a Multifaceted
- 9 Analytical Approach When Demonstrating Binding Affinity between Aptamer and Low Molecular Weight
- 10 Compounds. J Am Chem Soc, 142, 19622-19630.
- 11 Boussebayle A, Torka D, Ollivaud S, et al. 2019. Next-level riboswitch development-implementation of
- 12 Capture-SELEX facilitates identification of a new synthetic riboswitch. Nucleic Acids Res, 47, 4883-4895.

13 Bouvier-Müller A and Ducongé F. 2018. Application of aptamers for *in vivo* molecular imaging and

- 14 theranostics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 134, 94-106.
- 15 Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, et al. 2009. The MIQE Guidelines: Minimum Information for Publication of
- 16 Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments. Clin Chem, 55, 611-622.
- 17 Chatterjee B, Kalyani N, Anand A, et al. 2020. GOLD SELEX: a novel SELEX approach for the development of
- 18 high-affinity aptamers against small molecules without residual activity. Mikrochim Acta, 187, 618.
- 19 Dhiman A, Haldar S, Mishra SK, et al. 2018. Generation and application of DNA aptamers against HspX for
- 20 accurate diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis. Tuberculosis (Edinb), 112, 27-36.
- 21 Díaz-Fernández A, Miranda-Castro R, de-Los-Santos-Álvarez N and Lobo-Castañón MJ. 2018. Post-
- translational modifications in tumor biomarkers: the next challenge for aptamers? Anal Bioanal Chem, 410,
- 23 2059-2065.
- 24 Drolet DW, Moon-McDermott L and Romig TS. 1996. An enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay. Nat
- 25 Biotechnol, 14, 1021-1025.
- Ellington A and Szostak J. 1990. In vitro selection of RNA molecules that bind specific ligands. Nature, 346,
 818-822.
- 28 Endoh T, Shintani R, Mie M, et al. 2009. Detection of bioactive small molecules by fluorescent resonance
- 29 energy transfer (FRET) in RNA-protein conjugates. Bioconjug Chem, 20, 2242-2246.
- 30 Entzian C and Schubert T. 2016. Studying small molecule-aptamer interactions using MicroScale
- 31 Thermophoresis (MST). Methods, 97, 27-34.
- 32 Flanagan SP, Fogel R, Edkins AL, Ho LSJ and Limson J. 2021. Nonspecific nuclear uptake of anti-MUC1
- 33 aptamers by dead cells: the role of cell viability monitoring in aptamer targeting of membrane-bound
- 34 protein cancer biomarkers. Anal Methods, 13, 1191-1203.

- 1 Freedman LP and Inglese J. 2014. The increasing urgency for standards in basic biologic research. Cancer
- 2 Res, 74, 4024-4029.
- 3 Gelinas AD, Davies DR and Janjic N. 2016. Embracing proteins: structural themes in aptamer-protein
- 4 complexes. Curr Opin Struct Biol, 36, 122-132.
- 5 Guo W, Zhang C, Ma T, et al. 2021. Advances in aptamer screening and aptasensors' detection of heavy
- 6 metal ions. J Nanobiotechnol, 19, 166.
- 7 Haubner R and Decristoforo C. 2011. Radiotracer II: Peptide-Based Radiopharmaceuticals. Small Animal
- 8 Imaging: Basics and Practical Guide. Kiessling F and Pichler BJ. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer Berlin
- 9 Heidelberg, 247-266.
- 10 Hermann T and Patel DJ. 2000. Adaptive recognition by nucleic acid aptamers. Science, 287, 820-825.
- 11 Hilderbrand SA and Weissleder R. 2010. Near-infrared fluorescence: application to in vivo molecular
- 12 imaging. Curr Opin Chem Biol, 14, 71-79.
- 13 Homann M and Göringer HU. 1999. Combinatorial selection of high affinity RNA ligands to live African
- 14 trypanosomes. Nucleic Acids Res, 27, 2006-2014.
- 15 Ishida R, Adachi T, Yokota A, et al. 2020. RaptRanker: in silico RNA aptamer selection from HT-SELEX
- 16 experiment based on local sequence and structure information. Nucleic Acids Res, 48, e82-e82.
- 17 Jankowski W, Lagassé HAD, Chang WC, et al. 2020. Modified aptamers as reagents to characterize
- 18 recombinant human erythropoietin products. Sci Rep, 10, 18593.
- 19 Komarova N, Barkova D and Kuznetsov A. 2020. Implementation of High-Throughput Sequencing (HTS) in
- 20 Aptamer Selection Technology. Int J Mol Sci, 21.
- 21 Kumari P, Lavania S, Tyagi S, et al. 2019. A novel aptamer-based test for the rapid and accurate diagnosis of
- 22 pleural tuberculosis. Anal Biochem, 564-565, 80-87.
- 23 Lavania S, Das R, Dhiman A, et al. 2018. Aptamer-Based TB Antigen Tests for the Rapid Diagnosis of
- 24 Pulmonary Tuberculosis: Potential Utility in Screening for Tuberculosis. ACS Infect Dis, 4, 1718-1726.
- 25 Li Y and Zhao Q. 2019. Aptamer Structure Switch Fluorescence Anisotropy Assay for Small Molecules Using
- 26 Streptavidin as an Effective Signal Amplifier Based on Proximity Effect. Anal Chem, 91, 7379-7384.
- Lyu C, Khan IM and Wang Z. 2021. Capture-SELEX for aptamer selection: A short review. Talanta, 229,
 122274.
- 29 MacArthur CJ. 2018. The 3Rs in research: a contemporary approach to replacement, reduction and
- 30 refinement. Br J Nutr, 120, S1-S7.
- 31 Mayer G, Ahmed MS, Dolf A, et al. 2010. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting for aptamer SELEX with cell
- 32 mixtures. Nat Protoc, 5, 1993-2004.
- 33 McKeague M, McConnell EM, Cruz-Toledo J, et al. 2015. Analysis of In Vitro Aptamer Selection Parameters.
- 34 J Mol Evol, 81, 150-161.

- 1 Miller AA, Rao AS, Nelakanti SR, et al. 2021. Systematic Review of Aptamer Sequence Reporting in the
- 2 Literature Reveals Widespread Unexplained Sequence Alterations. bioRxiv, 2021.2011.2002.466945.
- 3 Moore MD, Escudero-Abarca BI and Jaykus LA. 2017. An Enzyme-Linked Aptamer Sorbent Assay to Evaluate
- 4 Aptamer Binding. Methods Mol Biol, 1575, 291-302.
- 5 Ng EWM, Shima DT, Calias P, et al. 2006. Pegaptanib, a targeted anti-VEGF aptamer for ocular vascular
- 6 disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 5, 123-132.
- 7 Park JW, Tatavarty R, Kim DW, Jung HT and Gu MB. 2012. Immobilization-free screening of aptamers
- 8 assisted by graphene oxide. Chem Commun (Camb), 48, 2071-2073.
- 9 Ruckman J, Green LS, Beeson J, et al. 1998. 2'-Fluoropyrimidine RNA-based aptamers to the 165-amino acid
- 10 form of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF165). Inhibition of receptor binding and VEGF-induced
- vascular permeability through interactions requiring the exon 7-encoded domain. J Biol Chem, 273, 2055620567.
- 13 Schütze T, Wilhelm B, Greiner N, et al. 2011. Probing the SELEX Process with Next-Generation Sequencing.
- 14 PLOS ONE, 6, e29604.
- 15 Sharma TK, Bruno JG and Dhiman A. 2017. ABCs of DNA aptamer and related assay development.
- 16 Biotechnol Adv, 35, 275-301.
- 17 Shigdar S, Lin J, Yu Y, et al. 2011. RNA aptamer against a cancer stem cell marker epithelial cell adhesion
- 18 molecule. Cancer Sci, 102, 991-998.
- 19 Sicco E, Baez J, Ibarra M, et al. 2020. Sgc8-c Aptamer as a Potential Theranostic Agent for Hemato-
- 20 Oncological Malignancies. Cancer Biother Radiopharm, 35, 262-270.
- 21 Stojanovic MN, de Prada P and Landry DW. 2000. Fluorescent Sensors Based on Aptamer Self-Assembly. J
- 22 Am Chem Soc, 122, 11547-11548.
- 23 Stoltenburg R, Krafčiková P, Víglaský V and Strehlitz B. 2016. G-quadruplex aptamer targeting Protein A and
- its capability to detect Staphylococcus aureus demonstrated by ELONA. Sci Rep, 6, 33812.
- 25 Stoltenburg R, Nikolaus N and Strehlitz B. 2012. Capture-SELEX: Selection of DNA Aptamers for
- 26 Aminoglycoside Antibiotics. J Anal Methods Chem, 2012, 415697.
- 27 Taneja V, Goel M, Shankar U, et al. 2020. An Aptamer Linked Immobilized Sorbent Assay (ALISA) to Detect
- 28 Circulatory IFN-α, an Inflammatory Protein among Tuberculosis Patients. ACS Comb Sci, 22, 656-666.
- 29 Tao X, He F, Liu X, et al. 2020. Detection of chloramphenicol with an aptamer-based colorimetric assay:
- 30 critical evaluation of specific and unspecific binding of analyte molecules. Microchimica Acta, 187, 668.
- 31 Tian H, Duan N, Wu S and Wang Z. 2019. Selection and application of ssDNA aptamers against spermine
- 32 based on Capture-SELEX. Anal Chim Acta, 1081, 168-175.
- 33 Tuerk C and Gold L. 1990. Systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment: RNA ligands to
- 34 bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. Science, 249, 505-510.

- 1 Vargas-Montes M, Cardona N, Moncada DM, et al. 2019. Enzyme-Linked Aptamer Assay (ELAA) for
- 2 Detection of Toxoplasma ROP18 Protein in Human Serum. Front Cell Infect Microbiol, 9, 386-386.
- 3 Yang K-A, Barbu M, Halim M, et al. 2014. Recognition and sensing of low-epitope targets via ternary
- 4 complexes with oligonucleotides and synthetic receptors. Nat Chem, 6, 1003-1008.
- 5 Yu H, Alkhamis O, Canoura J, Liu Y and Xiao Y. 2021. Advances and Challenges in Small-Molecule DNA
- 6 Aptamer Isolation, Characterization, and Sensor Development. Angew Chem Int Ed, 60, 16800-16823.
- 7 Zhang Y, Lai BS and Juhas M. 2019. Recent Advances in Aptamer Discovery and Applications. Molecules, 24,
- 8 941.
- 9 Zichel R, Chearwae W, Pandey GS, Golding B and Sauna ZE. 2012. Aptamers as a Sensitive Tool to Detect
- 10 Subtle Modifications in Therapeutic Proteins. PLOS ONE, 7, e31948.
- 11 Zong C and Liu J. 2019. The Arsenic-Binding Aptamer Cannot Bind Arsenic: Critical Evaluation of Aptamer
- 12 Selection and Binding. Anal Chem, 91, 10887-10893.
- 13

Box 1: Checklist for publications

- $\hfill\square$ All details are present for aptamer selection as per table 1
- All details are present for aptamer selection cycles as per table 2
- All details pertaining to aptamer sequence identification are present and top sequences are presented in the supplementary information
- All details pertaining to any structure prediction performed are present in the methods and structures are present in figure format
- □ All details pertaining to validation of aptamers are present in the methods, including any changes to buffers or conditions
- Aptamers were validated against positive and negative targets (including matrix-specific targets) to confirm specificity
- □ Appropriate controls for all experiments have been included and results presented

- **Table 1**. Sample table for reporting all relevant selection conditions. Note: this information could be
- 2 presented in an easy-to download supporting "excel" file.

Selection condition	Example of information			
Library information	• Length of random region, primer binding sites and			
	availability			
	 Nucleic acid backbone and modifications 			
	 Size (nmols) and concentration of starting library 			
	• How was the library synthesised? Was the library PCR			
	amplified prior to Round 1?			
	Was the library sequenced or otherwise			
	characterised?			
Folding conditions	 Temperature and time for each step 			
Buffer and pH	Which buffer and pH was used?			
Additional constituents of binding/ selection	 Was any other ingredient added? 			
buffer	 What were the storage conditions of the buffer and 			
	components (e.g., made immediately prior to use,			
	stored in freezer, could be stored in fridge for one			
	week?)			
Constituents of blocking buffer to reduce non-	• Were blocking agents or competitors used- and how?			
specific binding sites				
Immobilisation of target	 Conditions of immobilisation; type of immobilisation 			
	and linker; how was immobilisation verified?			
	 Concentration of target used for immobilisation. 			
Partitioning conditions	 What method was used to partition target bound 			
	from free aptamer?			
	 What were the buffer conditions? 			
	 What were the incubation time and temperature 			
Negative/counter selection	 Was negative selection used? What type of negative 			
	selection? When was it used?			
Preparation of Pool for each round	 Was there a single-stranded oligo generation step? 			
	 How was the library/pool quantified each round? 			
	 What are the details of oligonucleotide purification? 			
PCR conditions	 What PCR polymerase was used? 			
	 What were the PCR buffer conditions? 			
	What were the primers			
	 What were the PCR cycle parameters 			
	• How many PCR cycles?			
	How much sample was amplified?			
	 How was the amplified sample analysed? 			

 Table 2. Selection conditions for each round of a new SELEX experiment.

Round	Concentration of library to target ratio (when possible) or amount of target used	Volume of binding buffer	Temperature and length of incubation	Number and length of washes	Number of PCR cycles to amplify bound species	Cell density/Conditions (Cell-SELEX)
Round 1	pmol:pmol and volume	xμl	x °C and x mins	x washes for x mins each	x cycles	1 x 10 ^x cells in adherent/non- adherent conditions

- Table 3. Minimum requirements for post-SELEX assay protocols.

	ble 3. Minimum requirements for post-SELEX assay protocols.						
General assay requirements	Aptamer sequence						
	Chemistry (modifications, fluorescent dyes at 5' or 3', <i>etc</i> .)						
	Aptamer purification						
	Buffer and pH						
	Folding conditions of aptamer						
	Additional constituents						
	Storage conditions of all reagents						
	Target details						
	Quantitative characterisation of binding or activity						
	Positive and negative controls						
	Number of biological and/or technical replicates						
	Temperature						
	Incubation time						
Cell based assays	Adherent or suspension cells?						
	Proliferating or quiescent cells?						
	If adherent, what was used to detach cells?						
	If trypsin used, were cells given a period of recover						
	prior to assay?						
	Was fixation used?						